

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/652,643	08/28/2003	Steven J. Bullied	EH-10592(02-648)	1822
34704 7.	590 06/09/2005	, ·	EXAMINER	
BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C. 900 CHAPEL STREET			LIN, KUANG Y	
SUITE 1201	SIRCEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW HAVEN	, CT 06510		1725	
		· ·	DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/652,643	BULLIED ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kuang Y. Lin	1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	May 2005.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		formal Patent Application (PTC) -152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/652,643 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no support in the specification for the claimed feature that the disk is formed separately from the process for making the blade.

3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear whether the statement of "separately formed disk" is referred to forming the disk in a separate process step or to forming the disk in a separate mold cavity.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by either Blazek (4,702,298) or Blazek et al. (4,170,256 or 4,066,116).

Application/Control Number: 10/652,643

Art Unit: 1725

Since there is no positive recitation of the process step for securing the blade to a disk, the statement in the preamble in claim 1 is considered nothing more than an intent use of the cast product.

Page 3

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 3, 5-7, 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Blazek (4,702,298) or Blazek et al. (4,170,256 or 4,066,116).

Each of the prior art references substantially shows the invention as claimed except that they do not show to provide a core for forming a interior surface of the blade (claim 3), the filling level of the molten metal (claim 5), the filter (claims 6 and 13), assembling patterns on top of a plate (claim 7), disassembling step (claim 11) and the composition of the alloy. However, it is conventional to

Application/Control Number: 10/652,643 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

provide a core for forming a hollow air passage for a turbine blade and to use Ni or Co base superalloy for casting the same, to provide a filter in an investment mold for filtering inclusions, to obtain an optimal filling level through a routine experimentation, to provide a working platform for assembling the patterns, to disassemble the mold assembly as soon as the solidification is complete.

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed May 23, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - a. In page 7, 3rd paragraph of the remarks applicant stated that none of the prior art references directs to cast a plurality of turbine blades. However, in each of the patents they states that although only jet engine fan frame has been illustrated, it should be understood that "the invention can advantageously be utilized in the forming of other turbine engine components." (see, for example, col. 3, lines 25-31 of '256 patent; col.1, lines 5-12 of '116 patent and col. 3, lines 4-14 of '298 patent). Since turbine blades are components of the turbine engine, it is apparent the prior art process also includes a process for forming turbine blades.
 - b. With respect the in the junction paragraph between pages 7 and 8 of the remarks, it is noted that each mold section of the prior art mold assembly form one of more of parts, respectively. Thus, each mold section forms one or more separate ones of the parts or components. Therefore, the invention as claimed does not define over the prior art references.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,643 Page 5

Art Unit: 1725

c. In page 8 of the remarks applicant stated that the prior art references do not disclose the use of filters as claimed. However, it is noted that patent '452 to Aubrey shows the conventionality of the use of filter in an investment casting apparatus to improve the quality of the cast product. Since patents '116, '256, and '298 also involve an investment casting system, it would have been obvious to also provide filters in the system of the patents '116, '256, and '298 in view of the advantage.

- d. With respect to the arrangement of the pouring chamber, since the number of chambers to be used depending on the number of mold sections as well as number of mold cavities in each mold section to be fill, it would have been obvious for those of ordinary skill the investment casting art to provide an appropriate design for properly dispensing the molten metal into the mold cavity without causing excess turbulent flow or undue filling time.
- 10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1725

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-1179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas X Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kuang Y. Lin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725